

#### San Joaquin County 2021 Redistricting COI to Draft Maps

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY Greatness grows here.





Things we will cover:

- Timeline
- Final State Redistricting Data Released
- Community of Interest Testimony & Maps
- From COI to Draft Maps



## **Redistricting Timeline**

Public Workshops	Census Data	Public Meetings	Draft Maps Published	Adoption Hearing
Public Outreach and Education	Community Meetin	igs	Public Hearings	$\rangle$
AUGUST 17 Dutreach #1	SEPTEMBER 15 Outreach #2 SEPTEMBER 29 Outreach #3	OCTOBER 13 Meeting #1 10 OCTOBER 27 Meeting #2	NOVEMBER 10 MEETING #3 AOM MEETING #3 AOM NOVEMBER 16 MAPS Present Final Maps	DECEMBER 7 Approve Final Map



## Final California Redistricting Data

Statewide Database Released Final Dataset


On September 20<sup>th</sup>, the Statewide Database released the prisoner reallocated dataset. This dataset is the final data we need to conduct this redistricting.

We can now start drawing draft maps.

First let's look at the current lines with the population using the final data set.



San Joaquin County



# ♦ REDISTRICTING ♥ PARTNERS

#### San Joaquin County

#### 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	144,715	157,898	153,767	143,589	177,343
Deviation	-10,747	2,436	-1,695	-11,873	21,881
Deviation %	-6.9%	1.6%	-1.1%	-7.6%	14.1%
Other	23,222	40,675	54,068	80,911	62,237
Other %	16.0%	25.8%	35.2%	56.3%	35.1%
Latino	89,043	65,336	58,054	51,869	60,876
Latino %	61.5%	41.4%	37.8%	36.1%	34.3%
Asian	16,691	35,768	28,840	9,359	44,026
Asian %	11.5%	22.7%	18.8%	6.5%	24.8%
Black	15,759	16,119	12,805	1,450	10,204
Black %	10.9%	10.2%	8.3%	1.0%	5.8%

#### **Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

12345Totl CVAP704993,71799,5390,7694,542Other CVAP17,92634,8945,80364,90346,749Other CVAP25,4%37,2%46,1%71,5%49,4%Latino CVAP33,61928,45128,96319,83327,821Latino CVAP47,7%30,4%29,1%19,8327,821Asin CVAP45,9920,39715,73449,4714,29Asin CVAP15,5%21,8%55,%15,1%15,1%Back CVAP15,4%10,1%90,%12,%6,0%	•	<b>J</b> 1 1				
Other CVAP         17,926         34,889         45,803         64,903         46,749           Other CVAP %         25.4%         37.2%         46.1%         71.5%         49.4%           Latino CVAP         33,619         28,451         28,963         19,833         27,821           Latino CVAP %         47.7%         30.4%         29.1%         21.8%         29.4%           Asian CVAP %         8,099         20.397         15,734         4,974         14,299           Asian CVAP %         11.5%         21.8%         15.8%         5.5%         15.1%           Black CVAP         10,847         9,981         8,953         1,087         5,673		1	2	3	4	5
Other CVAP %       25.4%       37.2%       46.1%       71.5%       49.4%         Latino CVAP       33,619       28,451       28,963       19,833       27,821         Latino CVAP %       47.7%       30.4%       29.1%       21.8%       29.4%         Asian CVAP %       8,099       20,397       15,734       4,974       14,299         Asian CVAP %       11.5%       21.8%       15.8%       55%       15.1%         Black CVAP       10,847       9,981       8,953       1,087       5,673	Total CVAP	70,491	93,717	99,453	90,796	94,542
Latino CVAP       33,619       28,451       28,963       19,833       27,821         Latino CVAP %       47.7%       30.4%       29.1%       21.8%       29.4%         Asian CVAP       8,099       20,397       15,734       4,974       14,299         Asian CVAP %       11.5%       21.8%       15.8%       5.5%       15.1%         Black CVAP       10,847       9,981       8,953       1,087       5.673	Other CVAP	17,926	34,889	45,803	64,903	46,749
Latino CVAP %       47.7%       30.4%       29.1%       21.8%       29.4%         Asian CVAP       8,099       20,397       15,734       4,974       14,299         Asian CVAP %       11.5%       21.8%       15.8%       5.5%       15.1%         Black CVAP       10,847       9,981       8,953       1,087       5,673	Other CVAP %	25.4%	37.2%	46.1%	71.5%	49.4%
Asian CVAP       8,099       20,397       15,734       4,974       14,299         Asian CVAP %       11.5%       21.8%       15.8%       5.5%       15.1%         Black CVAP       10,847       9,981       8,953       1,087       5,673	Latino CVAP	33,619	28,451	28,963	19,833	27,821
Asian CVAP %         11.5%         21.8%         15.8%         5.5%         15.1%           Black CVAP         10,847         9,981         8,953         1,087         5,673	Latino CVAP %	47.7%	30.4%	29.1%	21.8%	29.4%
Black CVAP 10,847 9,981 8,953 1,087 5,673	Asian CVAP	8,099	20,397	15,734	4,974	14,299
	Asian CVAP %	11.5%	21.8%	15.8%	5.5%	15.1%
Black CVAP % 15.4% 10.7% 9.0% 1.2% 6.0%	Black CVAP	10,847	9,981	8,953	1,087	5,673
	Black CVAP %	15.4%	10.7%	9.0%	1.2%	6.0%

# ♦ REDISTRICTING ♥ PARTNERS

#### San Joaquin County

#### 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	144,715	157,898	153,767	143,589	177,343
Deviation	-10,747	2,436	-1,695	-11,873	21,881
Deviation %	-6.9%	1.6%	-1.1%	-7.6%	14.1%
Other	23,222	40,675	54,068	80,911	62,237
Other %	16.0%	25.8%	35.2%	56.3%	35.1%
Latino	89,043	65,336	58,054	51,869	60,876
Latino %	61.5%	41.4%	37.8%	36.1%	34.3%
Asian	16,691	35,768	28,840	9,359	44,026
Asian %	11.5%	22.7%	18.8%	6.5%	24.8%
Black	15,759	16,119	12,805	1,450	10,204
Black %	10.9%	10.2%	8.3%	1.0%	5.8%

#### Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

<b>U</b>	<b>9</b>   \				
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	70,491	93,717	99,453	90,796	94,542
Other CVAP	17,926	34,889	45,803	64,903	46,749
Other CVAP %	25.4%	37.2%	46.1%	71.5%	49.4%
Latino CVAP	33,619	28,451	28,963	19,833	27,821
Latino CVAP %	47.7%	30.4%	29.1%	21.8%	29.4%
Asian CVAP	8,099	20,397	15,734	4,974	14,299
Asian CVAP %	11.5%	21.8%	15.8%	5.5%	15.1%
Black CVAP	10,847	9,981	8,953	1,087	5,673
Black CVAP %	15.4%	10.7%	9.0%	1.2%	6.0%

# ♦ REDISTRICTING ♥ PARTNERS

#### San Joaquin County

#### 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5	
Population	144,715	157,898	153,767	143,589	177,343	
Deviation	-10,747	2,436	-1,695	-11,873	21,881	
Deviation %	-6.9%	1.6%	-1.1%	-7.6%	14.1%	
Other	23,222	40,675	54,068	80,911	62,237	
Other %	16.0%	25.8%	35.2%	56.3%	35.1%	
Latino	The total	deviation of	the plan i	s the sum c	of the 60,876	
Latino %	The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the					
Asian absolute values of the highest and lowest					44,026	
Asian %	ack districts. Here the plan deviation is <b>21.7%</b>					
Black	districts.	Here the pla	an deviatio	on is <b>21.7%</b>	10,204	
Black %	10.9%	10.2%	8.3%	1.0%	5.8%	

#### Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

		-			
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	70,491	93,717	99,453	90,796	94,542
Other CVAP	17,926	34,889	45,803	64,903	46,749
Other CVAP %	25.4%	37.2%	46.1%	71.5%	49.4%
Latino CVAP	33,619	28,451	28,963	19,833	27,821
Latino CVAP %	47.7%	30.4%	29.1%	21.8%	29.4%
Asian CVAP	8,099	20,397	15,734	4,974	14,299
Asian CVAP %	11.5%	21.8%	15.8%	5.5%	15.1%
Black CVAP	10,847	9,981	8,953	1,087	5,673
Black CVAP %	15.4%	10.7%	9.0%	1.2%	6.0%

#### **Communities of Interest**

#### **Outreach Hearings**

After two outreach hearings, we've received public and Commissioner input. The themes of testimony received:

- Unite Tracy with Mountain House
- Could create a Commuter District linking Mountain House to Tracy to Western Ranch in South Stockton
- Stockton must be split because of its size


Many of these themes can be seen in the district map submissions received to date.



COI Map:

Blue Area – Lodi American Viticultural Area

Yellow Area – Lodi AVA West of I5




#### REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

## Map Submissions

COI Map: Delta

i think the delta area should NOT be put in a single district. i think it'd be better if SEVERAL districts had a VESTED interest in the area. there's not enough people living there otherwise for water issues. the colored areas are meaningless, just to show having a couple DIFFERENT districts in the OVERALL area.






#### COI Map:

#### **Community of Agriculture**

This is a collective of rural areas that are interwoven by shared resources. Public schools, places of worship, local business, and employment. The County is the 7th Largest producer of Agriculture. Unincorporated Cities: Acampo, Collierville, Farmington, French Camp, Lockeford, Morada, Thornton, Victor, Waterloo, Woodbridge, Linden.





#### COI Map: Little Manila

Just for the record - granted there's not much left after State Route 4, and not very populated present-day, so probably little overall effect on redistricting, but it's still a historic site of widely recognized cultural significance.





COI Map: University District

Centric to the University community.






**District Map:** 

Magee

1 District Plan – population 155,462

Including Lathrop, Manteca, Escalon, Ripon






District Map: Communities First

**District Plan** 

**Deviation 2.4%** 

151,720 to 157,335 | Ideal 155,462






District Map:

No Splits

**District Plan** 

Deviation – 2.54%

151,510 to 156,853 | Ideal 155,462






**District Map:** 

if Mountain House were
\*Not\* with Tracy, then
what?!?! (hypothetical only)

**District Plan** 

Deviation – 1.28%

153,479 to 156,671 | Ideal 155,462






District Map: Community District

**District Plan** 

Total Deviation 1.66%

152,889 to 156,439 | Ideal 155,462






**District Map:** 

District Plan

Total Deviation 0.01%

155,441 to 155,464 | Ideal 155,462






## From COI to Draft Maps

Getting from input to new proposed maps

Future hearings will allow for changes to the Drafts prior to adoption.

- Hearing on draft maps (next meeting)
- Selection of recommended map (October 26)





## From COI to Draft Maps

Getting from input to new proposed maps

All public plans and Redistricting Partners Draft Maps will include:

- Overview Page
- Data Tables
- Individual district pages with data






## From COI to Draft Maps

Getting from input to new proposed maps

Redistricting Partners needs feedback from the RAC on potential draft plan versions.

- All plans will follow the required criteria, VRA, Compactness, equal population, etc.
- Need feedback from the RAC and community on potential versions of draft maps



# REDISTRICTING PARTNERS