

From: [REDACTED]
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: redistricting comments
Date: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:59:56 AM

CAUTION: This email is originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Gentlemen and Ladies,
Miguel. Miguel he is our man. If he can't do it Chris can. Miguel has taken care of Area 2 for as long ad I can remember. He and Chris organize the Dump Days and anything else that goes on. If anything happens that we need to have something taken care of we call Miguel. If you need to see the 500 signatures we have regarding making this part of Area 2 now part of Area 1 please let us know. Thank you and have a nice day.

Kathleen Garcia
[REDACTED]
Stockton, Ca 95215

From: [Tynysha Williams](#)
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Keep San Joaquin County in Tact
Date: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:27:32 PM

CAUTION: This email is originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I strongly oppose dividing up San Joaquin County into three districts. I want the Commission to keep San Joaquin County's district in tact and keep Tracy as part of San Joaquin County.

Tynysha Williams

--

Tynysha Marie Williams

From: Mamie Starr [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 12:55 PM
To: Fowler, Glen [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 11/10 RAC Meeting Details and Draft Maps

Could you please forward this on to Chris as a public comment/question. If inappropriate - no worries.

What if you took Plan E and put Lathrop and the central Delta back in with Tracy and moved Ripon and Escalon in with Manteca? Was going to try it in DistrictR but ran out of time.

I know you had to come up with some plans per the BOS discussion. Thanks. Mamie

From: redistricting@sjgov.org
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Contact Submission | We Draw The Lines
Date: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 7:29:50 PM

Redistricting Comments

Name: Germaine Clark

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Comments:

The map you proposed that runs north and south will benefit Stockton and give them a larger voice than the rest of the county. It will diminish the voices in agriculture and the smaller communities. We have almonds, wine, and various other crops that make up the largest economic industry in this county and they should be represented fairly. District 4 can cover the north towards Lodi and east toward Farmington. District 5 can cover the south and east past Escalon. This would make sure we have a voice for agriculture.

From: [Cliff Santiago](#)
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Redistricting meeting 11-10-2021,
Date: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 8:06:31 PM

CAUTION: This email is originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I watched the Board of Supervisor meeting on Tuesday, November 2nd regarding Supervisorial Redistricting and I have concerns about my district. I live in District 5 and I don't believe it's fair that my district is the one that has to change the most. District 5 is south county and has always represented the interest of south county. District 5 should move more east as it represents our agriculture and families that have lived in this district for over 100 years. The community east of Tracy includes schools like Delta Charter and New Jerusalem who send their children to Tracy to attend high school. The families also shop and spend time in Tracy. South County is more than just a commuter district. What district doesn't have the majority of their residence commuting for work? I know Stockton sends many of their residence to Tracy and Lathrop to work. I'm tired of Stockton getting preferential treatment at the expense of all the other cities in San Joaquin County.

Clifford Santiago



From: redistricting@sjgov.org
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Contact Submission | We Draw The Lines
Date: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 8:40:19 PM

Redistricting Comments

Name: Sherry Campbell

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Comments:

When redrawing the district lines, it makes sense that Manteca and Lathrop should be together in District 3. Please look at the communities of interest. How about Manteca-Lathrop Fire Department, traffic, water issues, shopping, restaurants, crime, etc. Both Lathrop and Manteca share these important issues. Lathrop and Manteca residents need to speak as one voice on these concerns.

From: redistricting@sjgov.org
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Contact Submission | We Draw The Lines
Date: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:42:01 PM

Redistricting Comments

Name: Krystal Silveira

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Comments:

We must make sure our agriculture industry remains a priority. If you look at the community of interest, Draft Plan E makes sure our agricultural districts, Districts 4 and 5, have a strong voice. District 3 combines Manteca and Lathrop that share common interest like water, traffic, public safety, and economic expansion. District 1 and 2 incorporates most of the interests in Stockton. This map is fair to all communities of interest, population works, and is what has been traditionally used.

From: [Eleassia Davis](#)
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 2:05:03 PM

CAUTION: This email is originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

First I'd like to thank the RAC for all the hard work you're doing to make sure that San Joaquin County gets this right. I know it isn't an easy task. I very much appreciate your diligence in making sure that we draw the lines in a way that considers each city's unique character while respecting shared commonalities that make us the truly beautiful valley that we are. Fair and equitable representation at every level of government is critical to sustaining existing support systems, while enhancing accessibility to future opportunities. Drawing the lines correctly helps to ensure that residents and their interests are properly represented by elected officials who reside in the local region, understand the dynamics and can therefore relate to their experiences. This is why it is important to me that communities are kept whole and that we do not draw lines that divide them. Drawing the lines correctly not only strengthens the moral of impacted communities, it strengthens the viability of our regional economy, and increases the likelihood of appropriate funding allocations and critical resources per the needs of each district.

After studying and reviewing several iterations that seem to address many of the aforementioned concerns, I'm pleased to express my support for Maps E and North and Lodi.

Thank you again for your time, attention and diligence on this tasks. I appreciate your consideration of my and others public comments during your decision- making process.

Respectfully,

Eleassia Davis
San Joaquin County,
Tracy, CA Resident

From: [Dan Evans](#)
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Redistricting Comment
Date: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 6:23:56 PM

CAUTION: This email is originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening to the Redistricting Advisory Committee. My name is Dan Evans and I'm a 25 year resident of Tracy. I'm writing in support of the North & Lodi map, called 2021-11-09 77720 PLAN - North and Lodi.

I'm in favor of drawing our lines per that map as it provides the best balance of population count and voting populations, as well as a very fair geographic distribution. As well, I see this map as providing the best balance for our communities of interest.

The next best option to support the redistricting requirements, would be Plan E, specifically called 2021-11-08 77324 PLAN - E except Escalon in 4.

Please ensure our districts are drawn per one of these two maps to ensure fairness for our communities. Both maps are fair and do their best to maintain whole cities and towns and provide for all of our communities.

Thank you,

Dan Evans

From: redistricting@sjgov.org
To: [redistricting \[CDD\]](#)
Subject: Contact Submission | We Draw The Lines
Date: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 7:18:42 PM

Redistricting Comments

Name: Chrystena Gonzaga Rockett

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Comments:

I think Draft Map E or DistrictR Map North and Lodi provide the most cohesion by NOT slicing up sister cities i.e. Mt. House and Tracy, Lathrop and Manteca, Ripon and Escalon and by maintaining rural communities with common interests and values, and balancing the population with other districts.