

San Joaquin County 2021 Redistricting Draft Plans





Agenda

Things we will cover:

- Fair Maps Act Criteria
- Three Draft Plans
 - Plan A: Based on Public Submission with changes
 Map ID 48831
 - Plan B: Based on Public Submission with changes
 Map ID 49596
 - Plan C: Based on Public Submission with changes
 Map ID 55401
- Next Steps



Traditional Redistricting Principles

Complying with the Fair Maps Act

The Fair Maps Act passed by the Legislature mandates a set of criteria we must follow when drawing district plans.

- Relatively equal size people, not citizens
- Contiguous districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain "communities of interest"
- Follow city and census designated place boundaries
- Keep districts compact appearance/function



From COI to Draft Maps

Getting from input to new proposed maps

Redistricting Partners needs your feedback on these potential draft plan versions.

- All plans will follow the required criteria, VRA,
 Compactness, Contiguity, equal population, etc.
- Need feedback from the Board of Supervisors and community on potential versions.



Communities of Interest

Outreach Hearings & Public Testimony

After three outreach hearings, we've received public and Commissioner input. The themes of testimony received:

- Unite Tracy with Mountain House
- Respect the rural/agricultural areas and their unique interests within the County by creating Western and Eastern rural districts
- Create a Commuter District linking Mountain House to Tracy to Western Ranch in South Stockton
- Stockton must be split because of its size look to Lodi USD, major thoroughfares, and neighborhood lines

Many of these themes can be seen in the district map submissions received to date.

Courtland Clay Mokelumne Hill Buena Vista Herald Camanche Village Galt Walnut Grove Carnanche North Shore Collierville Dogtown Wallace Sen Andreas Rio Vista WoodbAgampo Valley Springs Isleton Rio Vista Lockeford Victor Lodi Rancho Calaveras Terminous Morada Waterloo Bethel Island Linden Lincoln Village ANTIOCH Oaloakley Peters Antloch Knightsen Copperopolls 3 Kennedy Brentwood ood Farmington aft. Mosswood Discovery French Camp Byron Valley Home Lathrop Knights Ferry athrop Mantecag Orange Blossom Escalon East Oakdale Mountain House Oakdale TRACY Riverbank Tracy Salida Livermore Pleasanton Modesto MODFAIrport Waterford Empire West McReu Bystrom Riverdale Breat Harte Ceres Hickman Hughson Crayson Cowan Keyes Westley Monterey Park Tract Denair TURLOCK Patterson Ballico



San Joaquin County 2011 Board of Supervisor Districts

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	144,715	157,898	153,768	143,587	177,345
Deviation	-10,748	2,435	-1,695	-11,876	21,882
Deviation %	-6.9%	1.6%	-1.1%	-7.6%	14,1%
Other	23,222	40,671	54,068	80,912	62,238
Other %	16.0%	25.8%	35.2%	56.4%	35.1%
Latino	89,043	65,336	58,055	51,868	60,876
Latino %	61.5%	41.4%	37.8%	36.1%	34.3%
Asian	16,691	35,771	28,840	9,358	44,026
Asian %	11.5%	22.7%	18.8%	6.5%	24.8%
Black	15,759	16,120	12,805	1,449	10,205
Black %	10.9%	10.2%	8.3%	1.0%	5.8%
tizen Voting A	Age Population (CVAP)			
	1	2	3	4	Ę

	Age Population (2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	70,491	93,717	99,453	90,796	94,542
Other CVAP	17,926	34,889	45,803	64,903	46,749
Other CVAP %	25.4%	37.2%	46.1%	71.5%	49.4%
atino CVAP	33,619	28,451	28,963	19,833	27,821
atino CVAP %	47.7%	30.4%	29.1%	21.8%	29.4%
sian CVAP	8,099	20,397	15,734	4,974	14,299
sian CVAP %	11.5%	21.8%	15.8%	5.5%	15.1%
Black CVAP	10,847	9,981	8,953	1,087	5,673
Black CVAP %	15.4%	10.7%	9.0%	1.2%	6.0%




Draft Plan A

Based on the map received via DistrictR with changes to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, the Fair Maps Act, and other public input.


DistrictR Map ID 48331

Draft Plan A


ID 48331



Draft Plan A - 48331



Draft Plan A with Current Lines





Draft Plan A - 48331

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	151,295	157,941	154,103	157,785	156,189
Deviation	-4,168	2,478	-1,360	2,322	726
Deviation %	-2.7%	1.6%	-0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Other	23,811	49,416	74,861	63,382	49,641
Other %	15.7%	31.3%	48.6%	40.2%	31.8%
Latino	96,535	60,207	59,950	53,715	54,771
Latino %	63.8%	38.1%	38.9%	34.0%	35.1%
Asian	16,472	28,643	14,623	32,981	41,967
Asian %	10.9%	18.1%	9.5%	20.9%	26.9%
Black	14,477	19,675	4,669	7,707	9,810
Black %	9.6%	12.5%	3.0%	4.9%	6.3%

Black %	9.6%	12.5%	3.0%	4.9%	6.3%
Citizen Voting	Age Population (C	CVAP)			
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	70,341	101,434	99,786	96,679	80,758
Other CVAP	17,268	43,862	61,767	50,769	36,603
Other CVAP %	24.5%	43.2%	61.9%	52.5%	45.3%
Latino CVAP	35,387	28,577	28,054	21,965	24,703
Latino CVAP %	50.3%	28.2%	28.1%	22.7%	30.6%
Asian CVAP	8,064	16,891	5,700	18,446	14,403
Asian CVAP %	11.5%	16.7%	5.7%	19.1%	17.8%
Black CVAP	9,622	12,103	4,26 5	5,499	5,051
Black CVAP %	13.7%	11.9%	4.3%	5.7%	6.3%




Draft Plan B


Based on the map received via DistrictR with changes to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, the Fair Maps Act, and other public input.

DistrictR Map ID 49596


Draft Plan B

ID 49596





Draft Plan B with Current Lines





Draft Plan B - 49596

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	151,295	160,091	150,317	161,229	154,381
Deviation	-4,168	4,628	-5,146	5,766	-1,082
Deviation %	-2.7%	3.0%	-3.3%	3.7%	-0.79
Other	23,811	50,944	55,990	65,537	64,82
Other %	15.7%	31.8%	37.2%	40.6%	42.09
Latino	96,535	61,139	58,552	54,549	54,40
Latino %	63.8%	38.2%	39.0%	33.8%	35.29
Asian	16,472	27,838	28,812	33,902	27,66
Asian %	10.9%	17.4%	19.2%	21.0%	17.99
Black	14,477	20,170	6,963	7,241	7,48
Black %	9.6%	12.6%	4.6%	4.5%	4.89

	Age Population (0	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	70,310	103,125	84,343	99,010	92,210
Other CVAP	17,254	45,033	44,481	52,729	50,773
Other CVAP %	24.5%	43.7%	52.7%	53.3%	55.1%
Latino CVAP	35, <i>3</i> 73	28,782	25,833	22,557	26,141
Latino CVAP %	50.3%	27.9%	30.6%	22.8%	28.3%
Asian CVAP	8,062	16,656	9,337	18,757	10,691
Asian CVAP %	11.5%	16.2%	11.1%	18.9%	11.6%
Black CVAP	9,621	12,654	4,693	4,968	4,604
Black CVAP %	13.7%	12.3%	5.6%	5.0%	5.0%




Draft Plan C


Based on the map received via DistrictR with changes to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, the Fair Maps Act, and other public input.

DistrictR Map ID 55401


Draft Plan C

ID 55401





Draft Plan C with Current Lines





Draft Plan C - 55401

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	162,670	158,588	153,149	151,191	151,715
Deviation	7,207	3,125	-2,314	-4,272	-3,748
Deviation %	4.6%	2.0%	-1.5%	-2.7%	-2.4%
Other	70,043	47,270	63,228	23,758	56,812
Other %	43.1%	29.8%	41.3%	15.7%	37.4%
Latino	56,930	58,939	60,687	96,487	52,135
Latino %	35.0%	37.2%	39.6%	63.8%	34.4%
Asian	28,355	32,463	22,662	16,470	34,736
Asian %	17.4%	20.5%	14.8%	10.9%	22.9%
Black	7,342	19,916	6,572	14,476	8,032
Black %	4.5%	12.6%	4.3%	9.6%	5.3%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	<u> </u>	· · · · /			
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	101,136	101,180	90,443	70,249	85,989
Other CVAP	55,976	41,684	51,078	17,228	44,303
Other CVAP %	55.3%	41.2%	56.5%	24.5%	51.5%
Latino CVAP	24,482	27,858	27,024	35,352	23,971
Latino CVAP %	24.2%	27.5%	29.9%	50.3%	27.9%
Asian CVAP	15,375	19,337	8,090	8,058	12,643
Asian CVAP %	15.2%	19.1%	8.9%	11.5%	14.7%
Black CVAP	5,304	12,301	4,252	9,610	5,072
Black CVAP %	5.2%	12.2%	4.7%	13.7%	5.9%



From COI to Draft Maps

Getting from input to new proposed maps

All maps approved as "Draft" maps

will be placed on redistricting website for public feedback.

- Written input
- Public comment and next hearing





From COI to Draft Maps


Getting from input to new proposed maps

Future hearings will allow for changes to the

Drafts prior to adoption

Hearing on draft maps

 Selection of recommended plan for the Board of Supervisors consideration





Redistricting Timeline



Public Workshops



Census Data



Public Meetings



Draft Maps Published



Adoption Hearing

Public Outreach and Education	n Comm	unity Meetin	igs	Pu	blic Hearings	
AUGUST 17 Outreach #1	SEPTEMBER 15 Outreach #2	SEPTEMBER 29 Outreach #3	OCTOBER 13 Meeting #1	OCTOBER 27 Meeting #2	NOVEMBER 10 MEETING #3 O NOVEMBER 16 Present Final Maps	DECEMBER 7 Approve Final Map



Next Steps

October 27th Draft Plan Revisions

At our next meeting, we'll be presenting a revised plan(s) based on recommendations received today and other public testimony.

